

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/706,435 | LANAR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner NANCY VOGEL | Art Unit 1636 | |

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) NANCY VOGEL. (3) _____.

(2) Sana Pratt. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 February 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4, 9, 39, 42, 46, 49, 52, 57, 62, 74-76, 93

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to the claims, to be made by Examiner's Amendment, were discussed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/NANCY VOGEL/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)